1 2 3 4 5	SARAH E. MORRISON Supervising Deputy Attorney General JAMES R. POTTER, State Bar No. 166992 BRIAN J. BILFORD, State Bar No. 262812 Deputy Attorneys General 300 South Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, CA 90013 Telephone: (213) 897-2637 Fax: (213) 897-2802	a
6 7	Attorneys for Plaintiff California	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DI	STRICT COURT
9	FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRIC	Γ OF CALIFORNIA
10		
11	CAS	SE NO. CV-17-785-R-RAO
12	STATE OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF TOXIC	
13		SENT DECREE BETWEEN
14	Plaintiff, DTSO	C AND GLASTEEL OF JESSEE INC : SEMTECH
15	v. CORI	PORATION; and UNITRODE PORATION
16	ALPHA THERAPEUTIC CORPORATION; AOC, LLC;	
17	CAMBRO MANUFACTURING COMPANY; CATALINA YACHTS,	
18	INC.; GRUBER SYSTEMS, INC.;	
19	PLASTICS, LLC; HYDRO SYSTEMS,	
20	INTÉRNATIONAL CORPORATION;	
21	SEMTECH CORPORATION;	
22	CONNECTIVITY CORPORATION;	
23	INC UNITRODE CORPORATION:	
24	and WATKINS MANUFACTURING CORPORATION	
25		
26	Defendants.	
27		
28		

I. INTRODUCTION

- 1. Concurrently with the lodging of this Consent Decree, Plaintiff, the State of California Department of Toxic Substances Control ("DTSC"), is filing the complaint ("Complaint") in this matter pursuant to the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act, 42 U.S.C. §§ 9601-9675 ("CERCLA"). In the Complaint, DTSC seeks to recover under CERCLA section 107(a), 42 U.S.C. § 9607(a), costs it incurred responding to releases and/or threatened releases of hazardous substances at or from the former Davis Chemical Company located at 1550 North Bonnie Beach Place, Los Angeles, California, identified by Los Angeles County Assessor's Parcel Number 5224-026-005 (the "Site").
 - 2. In the Complaint, DTSC alleges, in relevant part, the following:
 - a. The Site is located in the City of Los Angeles.
 - b. From approximately 1953 to 1990, Davis Chemical Company operated a solvent recycling facility at the Site, which recycled acetone and to a lesser extent chlorinated solvents.
 - c. Each of the Settling Defendants, which are the entities identified in Exhibit A to this Consent Decree, sent hazardous substances to be recycled at the Site by the Davis Chemical Company.
 - d. In 1997, Davis Chemical Company conducted a site investigation that identified the presence of 1,1,2-trichloroethane ("TCE"), perchloroethene ("PCE"), and 1,1,2,2-tetrachloroethane in the soil at the Site.
 - e. On December 18, 2002, DTSC issued an Imminent and Substantial Endangerment Determination and Remedial Action Order (the "2002 Consent Order"), which included findings that hazardous substances had been released and were present in the soil at the Site in sufficient concentrations to pose a substantial danger to public health and the

environment and further that there was a potential threat of groundwater contamination from those releases. The 2002 Consent Order directed the respondents thereto to prepare a Remedial Investigation and Feasibility Study and a Remedial Action Plan (the "RAP") for the Site. Settling Defendant Semtech was a respondent in the 2002 Consent Order.

- f. In 2009, DTSC determined that the work required by the 2002 Consent Order was complete.
- g. In 2009, DTSC issued an Imminent and Substantial Endangerment Determination and Remedial Action Order (the "2009 RAO") requiring certain responsible parties to implement the RAP, including excavation and treatment of contaminated soils, and installation of the soil vapor extraction system. The respondents did not comply with the 2009 RAO. In November, 2009, DTSC issued a Final Determination of Noncompliance with the RAO.
- h. Between 2010 and 2015, DTSC implemented the RAP prepared by the respondents to the 2002 Consent Order.
- 3. DTSC took response actions necessary to remove and remedy the hazardous substances released and/or threatened to be released at and from the Site. DTSC's response actions included, but were not limited to, the following activities: additional investigations of contamination at the Site; implementation of the RAP; enforcement/cost recovery activities; public participation; and compliance with the California Environmental Quality Act. DTSC's response actions were not inconsistent with the National Contingency Plan, 40 C.F.R. Part 300.
- 4. As of April 2016, DTSC's unreimbursed Response Costs related to the Site are approximately \$2.1 million.
- 5. DTSC and Settling Defendants (collectively, the "Parties") agree, and this Court, by entering this Consent Decree, finds, that this Consent Decree has

been negotiated by the Parties in good faith, settlement of this matter will avoid expensive, prolonged and complicated litigation between the Parties, and this Consent Decree is fair, reasonable, in the public interest and consistent with the purpose of CERCLA.

THEREFORE, the Court, with the consent of the Parties to this Consent Decree, hereby ORDERS, ADJUDGES, AND DECREES, as follows:

II. JURISDICTION

- 6. The Court has subject matter jurisdiction over the matters alleged in this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331, 28 U.S.C. § 1367(a) and CERCLA, section 113(b), 42 U.S.C. § 9613(b), and personal jurisdiction over each of the Parties. Venue is appropriate in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) and CERCLA section 113(b), 42 U.S.C. § 9613(b). Solely for the purposes of this Consent Decree and the underlying Complaint, Settling Defendants waive all objections and defenses that Settling Defendants may have to the jurisdiction of the Court or to venue in this district. Settling Defendants shall not challenge the terms of this Consent Decree or this Court's jurisdiction to enter and enforce this Consent Decree.
- 7. The Court shall retain jurisdiction over this matter for the purpose of interpreting and enforcing the terms of this Consent Decree if necessary.

III. SETTLEMENT OF DISPUTED CLAIMS

8. Subject to the reservations of rights in Section VII, this Consent Decree resolves all of DTSC's claims against Settling Defendants in the above-captioned action. DTSC agrees to resolve Settling Defendants' liability in this action in exchange for consideration from Settling Defendants, including payment by Settling Defendants to reimburse a portion of DTSC's Response Costs incurred at or in connection with releases and/or threatened releases of hazardous substances at and/or from the Site.

- 9. Nothing in this Consent Decree shall be construed as an admission by Settling Defendants of any issue of law or fact or of any violation of law. Except as otherwise provided by this Consent Decree, this Consent Decree shall not prejudice, waive or impair any right, remedy or defense that Settling Defendants may have in any other or further legal proceeding.
- 10. Settling Defendants consent to, and shall not challenge entry of this Consent Decree or this Court's jurisdiction to enter and enforce this Consent Decree.
- 11. Upon approval and entry of this Consent Decree by the Court, this Consent Decree shall constitute a final judgment between and among the Parties.

IV. **DEFINITIONS**

- 12. Unless otherwise expressly provided herein, terms used in this Consent Decree that are defined in CERCLA or in regulations promulgated under CERCLA shall have the meaning assigned to them therein. Whenever terms listed below are used in this Consent Decree, the definitions below shall apply.
- 13. "Day" shall mean shall mean a calendar day. In computing any period of time under this Consent Decree, where the last day would fall on a Saturday, Sunday, or federal or State holiday, the period shall run until the close of business of the next Day.
- 14. "DTSC" shall mean the State of California Department of Toxic Substances Control and its predecessors and successors. DTSC is a public agency of the State of California organized and existing under and pursuant to California Health and Safety Code §§ 58000-18. Under California law, DTSC is the state agency responsible for determining whether there has been a release and/or threatened release of hazardous substances into the environment, and for determining the actions to be taken in response thereto.

- 15. "Effective Date" shall mean the date the Court enters an Order approving this Consent Decree.
 - 16. "Parties" shall mean DTSC and Settling Defendants.
- 17. "Related Persons" shall mean those individuals and entities identified in Exhibit A to this Consent Decree as either corporate affiliates of a named Settling Defendant or individuals related to a named Settling Defendant.
- 18. "Response Costs" shall mean all costs of "removal", "remedial action", or "response" (as those terms are defined by section 101 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9601), related to the release and/or threatened release of hazardous substances at, beneath, and/or from the Site, including in the soils and groundwater.
- 19. "Settling Defendants" shall mean the entities identified as such in Exhibit A to this Consent Decree.
- 20. "Site" shall mean the property located at 1550 North Bonnie Beach Place, Los Angeles, California, in the County of Los Angeles, California, identified by Los Angeles County Assessor's Parcel Number 5224-026-005. For purposes of this Consent Decree, the Site includes anywhere that hazardous substances released at the Site have come to be located.

V. SETTLING DEFENDANTS' OBLIGATIONS

- 21. Settling Defendants or their designee shall pay DTSC \$250,000 within thirty (30) Days of the Effective Date.
- 22. The payment specified in Paragraph 21 shall be made by certified or cashier's check(s) made payable to Cashier, California Department of Toxic Substances Control, and shall bear on its face both the docket number of this proceeding and the phrase "Site Code 300432." On request, DTSC will provide instructions for payment by electronic funds transfer.

The payment shall be sent to:

///

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

Cashier Accounting Office, MS-21A Department of Toxic Substances Control 1001 I Street P.O. Box 806 Sacramento, CA 95812-0806

A copy of the check shall be mailed to:

Robert Sullivan, Attorney California Department of Toxic Substances Control Office of Legal Counsel, MS-23A 1001 I Street P.O. Box 806 Sacramento, CA 95812-0806

Or e-mailed to Robert.Sullivan@dtsc.ca.gov in .pdf or .jpg format.

23. This Consent Decree is conditioned upon full execution of the Settling Defendants obligations in Paragraphs 21 through 22. If these conditions are not met, then this Consent Decree, including the covenant not to sue in Section VI, shall be voidable at the discretion of DTSC, and DTSC may proceed to litigate the Complaint against Settling Defendants.

VI. COVENANT NOT TO SUE BY DTSC

24. Except as expressly provided in Section VII (DTSC's Reservation of Rights) of this Consent Decree, DTSC covenants not to sue Settling Defendants or Related Persons pursuant to CERCLA, the California Hazardous Substances Account Act, Cal. Health & Safety Code sections 25300-25395.3 to: (a) recover DTSC's Response Costs related to the Site, including Response Costs associated with groundwater remediation relating to any hazardous substances released at the Site; or (b) require Settling Defendants or Related Persons to conduct response actions, including removal or remedial actions, related to the release and/or threatened release of hazardous substances at or from the Site, including the soil and groundwater. This Covenant Not to Sue is conditioned upon the complete and satisfactory performance by Settling Defendants of all their obligations under this Consent Decree.

VII. DTSC'S RESERVATION OF RIGHTS

- 25. <u>Claims Regarding Other Matters</u>. DTSC reserves, and this Consent Decree is without prejudice to, all rights against Settling Defendants and Related Persons with respect to all matters not expressly included within DTSC's Covenant Not to Sue (Section VI).
- 26. <u>Reservation of Claims</u>. DTSC reserves, and this Consent Decree is without prejudice to, all rights against Settling Defendants with respect to the following matters:
 - a. Failure of Settling Defendants to meet the requirements of this Consent Decree;
 - b. Damage to natural resources, as defined in CERCLA section 101(6), 42 U.S.C. § 9601(6), including all costs incurred by any natural resources trustees;
 - c. Liability resulting from Settling Defendants' introduction of any hazardous substance, pollutant, or contaminant to the Site after the Effective Date;
 - d. Liability resulting from overt acts by Settling Defendants after the Effective Date that cause the exacerbation of the hazardous substance conditions existing at or from the Site;
 - e. Liability based on the ownership or operation of the Site by Settling Defendants when such ownership or operation commences after the Effective Date;
 - f. Claims based on liability arising from the past, present, or future disposal of hazardous substances at sites or locations other than the Site; and
 - g. Claims based on criminal liability.

- 27. Government Authority. Except as expressly provided in the Consent Decree, nothing in the Consent Decree is intended nor shall it be construed to preclude DTSC from exercising its authority under any law, statute or regulation. Furthermore, nothing in the Consent Decree is intended, nor shall it be construed, to preclude any other state agency, department, board or entity or any federal entity from exercising its authority under any law, statute or regulation.
- 28. <u>Claims Against Other Persons</u>. DTSC reserves, and this Consent Decree is without prejudice to, all rights, claims, and causes of action DTSC may have against any person other than Settling Defendants and Related Persons. Nothing in this Consent Decree is intended to be nor shall it be construed as a release, covenant not to sue, or compromise of any claim or cause of action, which DTSC may have against any person or other entity that is not a Settling Defendant or a Related Person.
- 29. <u>Unknown Conditions/New Information</u>. Notwithstanding any other provision in the Consent Decree, DTSC reserves, and this Consent Decree is without prejudice to, the right to institute proceedings in this action or in a new action, and/or to issue an administrative order seeking to compel Settling Defendants to perform response activities at the Site and/or to pay DTSC for additional Response Costs, if:
- (a) conditions previously unknown to DTSC, for which Settling Defendants are liable under any statute or law, are discovered at the Site after the entry of the Consent Decree, and these conditions indicate that a hazardous substance has been or is being released at the Site or there is a threat of such release into the environment and that the response performed at the Site is not protective of human health and the environment ("Unknown Conditions"), or
- (b) DTSC receives information after the entry of the Consent Decree that was not available to DTSC at the time the Consent Decree was entered, concerning

matters for which Settling Defendants are liable, and that information results in a determination that the response performed at the Site is not protective of human health and the environment ("New Information").

(c) For the purpose of this Consent Decree, no contamination identified in the RAP or indicated by any of the testing, data or other information regarding the Site submitted to or possessed by DTSC as of December 19, 2016 shall be considered an Unknown Condition or New Information.

VIII. COVENANT NOT TO SUE BY SETTLING DEFENDANTS

- 30. Settling Defendants covenant not to sue, and agree not to assert any claims or causes of action against DTSC or any DTSC contractors or employees that arise out of the transaction or occurrence that is the subject matter of the Complaint, or for any injuries, losses, costs, or damages caused or incurred as a result of the performance of the requirements of this Consent Decree or the DTSC's response actions at the Site.
- 31. This Section VIII (Covenant Not to Sue by Settling Defendants) does not pertain to any matters other than those specifically addressed in this Consent Decree, applies only to DTSC and does not extend to any other department, agency, board or body of the State of California. The Settling Defendants reserve, and this Consent Decree is without prejudice to, all rights against DTSC with respect to all other matters not expressly included within the scope of this Consent Decree.
- 32. In any legal proceedings that DTSC may initiate against Settling Defendants for non-compliance with this Consent Decree, Settling Defendants may raise any and all defenses that Settling Defendants deem to be relevant to the issue of whether or not they have complied with the terms of this Consent Decree.

///

IX. EFFECT OF SETTLEMENT AND CONTRIBUTION PROTECTION

- 33. With regard to claims for contribution against Settling Defendants for "Matters Addressed" in this Consent Decree, the Parties agree, and the Court finds as follows:
 - a. This Consent Decree constitutes a judicially approved settlement within the meaning of CERCLA section 113(f)(2), 42 U.S.C. § 9613(f)(2).
 - b. This Consent Decree requires that Settling Defendants pay certain costs with respect to their alleged liability at the Site.
 - c. Settling Defendants and Related Persons are entitled to the contribution protection provided by CERCLA section 113(f)(2), 42 U.S.C. § 9613(f)(2), and by state statutory and common law for the "Matters Addressed" in this Consent Decree, except for actions and claims identified in Section VII (DTSC's Reservation of Rights).
- 34. "Matters Addressed". The "Matters Addressed" in this Consent Decree are all response actions taken or to be taken and all Response Costs incurred or to be incurred at or in connection with the Site by DTSC.
- 35. The protection provided for in this Section IX is conditioned upon compliance by Settling Defendants with their obligations under Paragraphs 21 through 22 of this Consent Decree.
- 36. Nothing in this Consent Decree limits or impairs the right of DTSC to pursue any person other than a Settling Defendant or a Related Person for unrecovered Response Costs incurred by DTSC.
- 37. The Court shall retain jurisdiction over this matter for the purpose of interpreting and enforcing the terms of this Consent Decree if necessary.

///

1	X. NOTIFICATION
2	38. Notification to or communication among the Parties as required or
3	provided for in this Consent Decree shall be addressed as follows:
4	E DEGG
5	For DTSC:
6 7	Safouh Sayed, Project Manager Cypress Cleanup Program California Department of Toxic Substances Control
8	Corporate Avenue Cypress, CA 91311-6505
9	Robert Sullivan California Department of Toxic Substances Control
10 11	Office of Legal Counsel, MS-23A P.O. Box 806 Sacramento, CA 95812-0806
12	For Glasteel of Tennessee Inc.:
13	Nicholas W. van Aelstyn
14	Beveridge & Diamond, P.C. 456 Montgomery St Suite 1800, San Francisco, CA 94104
15	NvanAelstyn@bdlaw.com
16	For Semtech Corporation:
17 18	Rick R. Rothman Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP, 300 South Grand Avenue, Suite 2200
19	Los Angeles, CA 90071-3132 rick.rothman@morganlewis.com
20	For Unitrode Corporation:
21	Jonathan Weisberg
22	Senior Counsel 13588 N. Central Expressway, MS 3999 Dallas, Texas 75243
23	Dallas, Texas 75243 JWeisberg@TI.com
24	
25	XI. GENERAL PROVISIONS
26	39. Parties Bound. This Consent Decree shall apply to, be binding upon, and
27	inure to the benefit of the Parties and their representatives, successors, heirs,
28	legatees, and assigns.

- 40. No Rights in Other Parties. Except as provided in Paragraph 39 regarding parties bound, nothing in this Consent Decree shall be construed to create any rights in, or grant any cause of action to, any person not a Party to this Consent Decree or a Related Person.
- 41. <u>No Waiver of Enforcement</u>. The failure of DTSC to enforce any provision of this Consent Decree shall in no way be deemed a waiver of such provision or in any way affect the validity of this Consent Decree. The failure of DTSC to enforce any such provision shall not preclude it from later enforcing the same or any other provision of this Consent Decree.
- 42. <u>Attorneys' Fees</u>. Except as expressly provided in this Consent Decree, the Parties will not seek to recover attorneys' fees and/or litigation costs against each other.
- 43. <u>Final Agreement</u>. This Consent Decree constitutes the final, complete and exclusive agreement and understanding between the Parties with respect to the settlement embodied in this Consent Decree.
- 44. <u>Modifications</u>. This Consent Decree may be modified only upon written approval of the Parties and with the consent of the Court.
- 45. <u>Signatories</u>. Each signatory to this Consent Decree certifies that he or she is fully authorized by the Party he or she represents to enter into the terms and conditions of this Consent Decree, to execute it on behalf of the Party represented, and to legally bind that Party to all the terms and conditions of this Consent Decree.
- 46. <u>Counterparts</u>. This Consent Decree may be executed in two or more counterparts, each of which shall be deemed an original, but all of which together shall constitute one and the same instrument.
- 47. <u>Agent</u>. Settling Defendants have appointed and authorized the agents identified in Paragraph 38 to this Consent Decree to receive notices with respect to all matters arising under or relating to this Consent Decree.

1		
2		
3	APPROVED AS TO FORM AND CONT	TENT:
4	Dated: January 27, 2017	/s/ James Potter
5	Batea. January 27, 2017	James Potter
6		Deputy Attorney General Attorney for DTSC
7		Title
8		
9	Dated:	Nicholas W. van Aelstyn
10		Attorney for Settling Defendant Glasteel
11		of Tennessee Inc.
12	A	$\bigcap - \bigcap$
13	Dated: January 30,2017	1 22
14	1	Rick R. Rothman Attorney for Settling Defendant
15		Semtech Corporation
16	Data I	
17	Dated:	Jonathan Weisberg
18	=	Attorney for Settling Defendant
19		Unitrode Corporation
20		
21		
22		
23	₽	
24	· ·	
25		
26		
27	v v	
28		

1	
2	
3	APPROVED AS TO FORM AND CONTENT:
4	Dated:
5	James Potter
6	Deputy Attorney General Attorney for DTSC
7	
8	
9	Dated: Nicholas W. van Aelstyn
10	Attorney for Settling Defendant Glasteel of Tennessee Inc.
11	of Tennessee Inc.
12	
13	Dated: Rick R. Rothman
14	Attorney for Settling Defendant
15 16	Semtech Corporation
17	Dated: Jonethan Jinger
18	Jonathan Weisberg
19	Attorney for Settling Defendant Unitrode Corporation
20	•
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	E
	II

1	
2	
3	APPROVED AS TO FORM AND CONTENT:
4	Dated:
5	James Potter
6	Deputy Attorney General
7	Attorney for DTSC
8	11 /1 /1 /1 /1 /1
9	Dated: //26/2017 /wholas W. van Aelstyn
10	Attorney for Settling Defendant Glastee
11	of Tennessee Inc.
12	
13	Dated:
14	Rick R. Rothman
15	Attorney for Settling Defendant Semtech Corporation
16	
17	Dated:
18	Jonathan Weisberg Attorney for Settling Defendant
19	Unitrode Corporation
20	
21	
22	
23	·
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

1	
2	CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF TOXIC SUBSTANCES CONTROL AND
3	TOXIC SUBSTANCES CONTROL
4	$\int \int $
5	
6	DATE: January 2/1201/ By: July By:
7	SIGNATURE
8	John E. Scandura
9	NAME (printed or typed)
10	Branch Clief
11	TITLE (printed or typed)
12	
13	
14	v
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23 24	
24 25	
25 26	x s
26 27	
41	

1	CLASTEE	
2	GLASTEE	EL OF TENNESSEE INC.
3		
4	DATE:	1/26/17 By: Matth 7. Walth
5	7	SIGNATURE
6		Matthew m. Watkins
7 8		NAME (printed or typed)
9		Executive Vice President
10		TITLE (printed or typed)
11		
12		
13		
14	Agent Auth	orized to Accept Service on Behalf of Above-signed Party:
15	Name:	Nicholas W. van Aelstyn
16	Title:	Attorney for Glasteel of TH
17	Company:	Beveridge & Diamond, P.C.
18 19		456 Montgomery St, Suite 1800
20	1 1001 000	SAN Francisco, CA 94104
21	Phone:	(415) 2/2- 4/09
22		(415) 262-4008 NVan Actstyn @ bd/ow.com
23	email:	- NVanHelstyn (2) bd/ow.com
24		
25		
26		
27		

1 2	SEMTECH	CORPORATION	
3			
4	7		$M \cap M$
5	DATE:	ANUARY 30, 2017	By: Charles (mnury)
6			
7			Charles B. Ammann
8			NAME (printed or typed)
9			EVP, General Counsel and Secretary
10			TITLE (printed or typed)
11			
12			
13			
14	Agent Auth	orized to Accept Service	on Behalf of Above-signed Party:
15	Name:	Rick R. Rothman	on Behan of Above-signed Party.
16	Title:	Partner	
17	a construction of the construction		
	Company:	Morgan Lewis & Bockius LLP	No. of the second secon
18	Address:	300 S. Grand Avenue, 22nd Floo	r
19	DI	Los Angeles, CA 90071	
20	Phone:	(213) 612-2500	
21	email:	rick.rothman@morganlewis.com	
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			

]			
1	UNITRODE CORPORATION		
2			
3	DATE: 1/2	117 By: Reginal Joseph	
4	DITIE	SIGNATURE	
5		Regionald Toront	
6		Reginald Joseph Reginald Joseph	
7			
8		<u>President</u> President	
9		resident	
10			
11			
12			
13			
14	Agent Authorize	d to Accept Service on Behalf of Above-signed Party:	
15	Name:	Cynthia Hoff Trochu	
16	Title:	General Counsel	
17	Company: Address:	Texas Instruments Incorporated 12500 TI Boulevard	
18	7 2 4 2 5 5 5 7	Dallas, Texas 75243	
19	With Copy to:	Jonathan Weisberg	
20	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Senior Counsel	
21		13588 N. Central Expressway, MS 3999 Dallas, Texas 75243	
22		214-479-1269	
23		jweisberg@ti.com	
24			
2526	16		
27	~	94	
28			
~ U			

EXHIBIT A

Settling Defendants and Related Persons

- 1. Settling Defendant Glasteel of Tennessee Inc., including all of its directors, officers, predecessors, successors and assigns
- 2. Settling Defendant Semtech Corporation, including all of its directors, officers, predecessors, successors and assigns, and its corporate affiliates American Semiconductor Corporation and American Semiconductor, Inc.
- 3. Settling Defendant Unitrode Corporation, including all of its directors, officers, predecessors, successors and assigns, and its corporate affiliate Texas Instruments Incorporated